



# DEBATE Secondary

343

443

## Affirmative Team

## Negative Team

School \_\_\_\_\_ School \_\_\_\_\_

City \_\_\_\_\_ City \_\_\_\_\_

Round \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Check the column on each item which best describes your evaluation of the speaker's effectiveness. (5=superior)

### FIRST AFFIRMATIVE

### SECOND AFFIRMATIVE

### FIRST NEGATIVE

### SECOND NEGATIVE

1	2	3	4	5

1	2	3	4	5

ANALYSIS  
REASONING  
EVIDENCE  
ORGANIZATION  
REFUTATION  
DELIVERY

1	2	3	4	5

1	2	3	4	5

TOTAL \_\_\_\_\_

TOTAL \_\_\_\_\_

TOTAL \_\_\_\_\_

TOTAL \_\_\_\_\_

TEAM RATINGS: **AFFIRMATIVE:** POOR EXCELLENT  
FAIR SUPERIOR  
GOOD

**NEGATIVE:** POOR EXCELLENT  
FAIR SUPERIOR  
GOOD

RANK EACH DEBATER IN ORDER OF EXCELLENCE: FIRST, SECOND, THIRD, FOURTH

COMMENTS:

First Affirmative...Rank \_\_\_\_\_

NAME \_\_\_\_\_

Second Affirmative...Rank \_\_\_\_\_

NAME \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS:

First Negative...Rank \_\_\_\_\_

NAME \_\_\_\_\_

Second Negative...Rank \_\_\_\_\_

NAME \_\_\_\_\_

REASON FOR DECISION \_\_\_\_\_

In my opinion, the better debating was done by the: AFFIRMATIVE NEGATIVE

\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge's Signature